

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,854	10/06/2000	Christopher Kelly	98R-2-2	9855	
29500	7590 05/15/2003	(	memail		
RAININ INSTRUMENT, LLC 7500 EDGEWATER DRIVE OAKLAND, CA 94621-3027		Γ	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			LUDLOW	LUDLOW, JAN M	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Chemail

		2-04s				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/680,854	KELLY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
•	Jan M. Ludlow	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	March 2002					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,,					
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 6 oct 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>	_					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams.

Williams teaches a pipette shaft having a cylindrical sealing zone (either of the portions 276 or 279 below the point of contact at part 274 of the pipette tip) with a spaced cylindrical support zone above or below the point of contact at either part 274 or 276 of the pipette tip. The annular sealing rings have a first predetermined diameter and the spaces above and below them have differing predetermined diameters.

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Brysch additionally teaches a pipette shaft and tip in which a portion 4 of the shaft is smaller than the inner diameter of the tip in a region spaced from the sealing region 5. Applicant has defined "substantially cylindrical" as having a taper of 1.5 degrees or less (p. 27) and it is not clear that either of section 4 or 5 meet this definition.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed March 6, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues that the instant pipette and tip combination is designed to have a single sealing region, but the instant claims are not so limited in that they do not preclude a second sealing region. Applicant further argues that the tip of Williams requires more mounting/removal force, but there are no claim limitations directed to this

Page 3

feature, such as mounting force or the degree of deformation of the sealing region of the

tip or the number (" a single") of sealing regions. The examiner notes that the sealing

regions of the tip of Williams have predetermined diameters, as do the regions above

and below the sealing regions, the regions above and below having a diameter larger

than the pipette shaft diameter, resulting in the gaps shown. Compare Figure 6C, 7 or 8

of Williams to Figure 4 of the instant specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4039. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310

for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Jan M. Ludlow

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743

iml

June 17, 2002